

## The *meso*-(±)- and *erythro-threo*-Dichloro-alkane Equilibria

By W. E. BILLUPS\* and N. C. DENO

(Department of Chemistry, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802)

**Summary** Equilibration of the 2,3-dichlorobutane or 2,3-dichloropentane diastereomers at 80° leads to equilibrium mixtures in which the (±)- and *threo*-diastereomers are more stable in relation to their *meso*- and *erythro*-forms than was previously thought.

ONLY a few references report experimental information on the relative stabilities of diastereomers. The *meso*-form is usually thought to be more thermodynamically stable than (±)-pairs, and *erythro*-isomers are likely to be more stable than *threo*-isomers for substituted ethane derivatives except in those cases where strong intramolecular bonding is possible.<sup>1</sup> In these instances, *i.e.*, diols and diacids the (±)- and *threo*-diastereomers usually predominate.

Thus, the *meso*-stilbene dichlorides<sup>2</sup> and dibromides<sup>3</sup> have been shown to be more stable than their racemic isomers, although no accurate analysis is available for the dichloride. Linstead and Whalley<sup>4</sup> reported that *meso*-αα'-dimethylsuccinic acid is more stable than the (±)- form. However, Ebersson<sup>5</sup> later found that the (±)-isomers of a series of αα'-dialkylsuccinic acids were more stable than the *meso*-diastereomers. Racemic tartaric acid is also more stable than the *meso*-diastereomer.<sup>6</sup> These results are presumably due to hydrogen bonding. Similarly, (±)-butane-2,3-diol has been reported to be more stable than the *meso*-isomer.<sup>7</sup> Surprisingly, the *meso*-(±) equilibrium<sup>8</sup> for the 2,3-dimethyl-2,3-diphenylsuccinonitriles has very recently been shown to favour the (±)- form. This unexpected result was explained by postulating an attractive interaction between nitrile groups.

We report further examples of *meso*-(±)- and *erythro-threo*-equilibria in which the (±)- and *threo*-forms are at least as stable as their *meso*- and *erythro*-isomers. When *meso*- or (±)-2,3-dichlorobutane is heated at 80° in a solution of Me<sub>2</sub>SO saturated with LiCl a steady-state mixture is obtained which consists of 51% (±)- and 49% *meso*-2,3-dichlorobutane. Similarly, *erythro*- and *threo*-2,3-dichloropentane give 52.5% *threo*- and 47.5% *erythro*-2,3-dichloropentane.

The reasons for these observations may be explained by an attractive interaction between chlorines such as Peterson suggested for nitrile groups.<sup>8</sup> Analogy exists for such speculation with *cis*-dichloroethylene which is considerably more stable than its *trans*-isomer. It has been suggested that a possible explanation for this phenomenon might be attractive London forces between the polarizable chlorines.<sup>9</sup> *trans*-1,2-Dibromocyclohexane exists predominately in the diaxial conformation whereas the dichloride is predominately diequatorial.<sup>10</sup> Any attractive interaction should appear in the diequatorial conformation. Consideration of dipolar repulsion and steric factors may vitiate these arguments considerably. Accurate predictions of diastereomer stability require, therefore, a more detailed understanding of interacting groups than was previously thought.<sup>1</sup>

The authors acknowledge the helpful discussion of Professor J. E. Baldwin.

(Received, October 3rd, 1969; Com. 1498.)

<sup>1</sup> For a discussion see E. L. Eliel, "Stereochemistry of Carbon Compounds," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1962, p. 138.

<sup>2</sup> Th. Zincke, *Ber.*, 1877, **10**, 999.

<sup>3</sup> R. E. Buckles, W. E. Steinmetz, and N. G. Wheeler, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1950, **72**, 2496.

<sup>4</sup> R. P. Linstead and M. Whalley, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1954, 3722.

<sup>5</sup> L. Ebersson, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 1959, **13**, 203.

<sup>6</sup> J. Coope and P. E. Verkade, *Rec. Trav. chim.*, 1925, **44**, 987.

<sup>7</sup> F. Bottari and B. Macchia, *Chimica e Industria*, 1965, **47**, 308.

<sup>8</sup> L. I. Peterson, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 2677.

<sup>9</sup> Reference 1, p. 319.

<sup>10</sup> P. Bender, D. L. Flowers, and H. L. Goering, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1955, **77**, 3463.